



signal/noise

collected student works from a feminist docc

volume 1, issue 1, april 2016

Situating Glitches: Networks of Knowledge Production

Juliana Luchkiw

Abstract: A glitch of the system is resistant to hegemonic norms, and carves out a virtual space for itself within the system in order to critique it. This paper is a textual and typographical montage that thinks about how technology is feminized, and how glitches in the system queer technology as its abject. It deals with a glitch's capacity to rupture the White masculinist Order that classifies according to function ("usefulness" for (re)production of dominant relations of power), that standardizes knowledge according to a systematized totality, and that manages and administers this information. Feminist and queer productions of knowledge and interventions into digital platforms can disturb identity, system, and order—they can glitch the system. This discussion is taken further into a case study of the relationships between technology, networks, and the Zapatista struggle. It presents how those who are not legible by the state, in relationship to hierarchies of function, have the possibility of manifesting with/in technology to make connections of solidarity across networks that empower material changes.

We are on the way to a feminine. Not an essence or a goalie's penalty; just a way. Heidegger has wanted to draw the important distinction between equipment and thing. Technology in some way is always implicated in the feminine. It is young; it is thingly. Thus every instrument of war is given a feminine name. The feminine, in whose way we are, does not arrive. She is what is missing. Constituted like a rifle, she is made up of removable parts. She hinges on the other, like the allegorical symbolics of which Heidegger speaks. The woman has gotten in the way of things, so that the prior mention of her, at a younger stage of "The Origin of the Work of Art," needs attention. All works have a thingly character. A picture may hang on the wall, asserts Heidegger, like a rifle or a hat (P, 19). Because the thingly element is so irremovably present, it draws allegory to the understanding of the work. The question of the other, of, say, equipment and the other, is not an arbitrary one. "The art work is something else over and above the thingly element" (P, 19). [...] While the artwork reverts to a made thing, it nevertheless says something other than the mere thing itself. Manifesting something other, it is an allegory. In the work of art, the reading continues, something other is brought together

*with the thing that is made. [...] Joining one element with another, bringing together what stays apart, the work somehow participates in both these figures, ruling over separation and that which binds. "But this one element in a work that manifests another, this one element that joins with another, is the thingly feature in the artwork" (P, 20). The thingly feature is the jointure, that which joins and, one supposes, separates. (Avital Ronell, *The Telephone Book*, 207-208)*

performativity does not just refer to explicit speech acts, but also to the reproduction of norms. Indeed, there is no reproduction of the social world that is not at the same time a reproduction of those norms that govern the intelligibility of the body in space and time. (Judith Butler, "Performativity, Precarity, and Sexual Politics," x)

Technology calls to an absent presence. Like woman, it comes after—it is made from and named by man. But unlike man, the machinery is usually interior. The digital and mechanical technological landscapes are "overwhelmingly male-dominated," (Evans) but the technology is feminized. It usually expresses a particular version of feminine: perfected according to some norm—sleek, new, forever young, always updating, "mostly in service to the patriarchal overlords of commerce, science, educational institutions." (Evans) It is assumed by many that technology will always function according to a standard, and that humans will relate to it in a mostly determinate manner. These expectations emerge from humanist hierarchies which prescribe humans as superior to objects and most heterosexual cisgender white men as superior to other humans. This could impact the ways in which digital and mechanical technologies are feminized in relationship to their made-ness as well as the expectancy for them to follow their classified function—perpetuating the way in which bodies are crafted within structures of reproductive heteronormativity, upon whose hegemonic terms society is Ordered.

*The standard way of thinking about technology is in terms of the application of reason in the domination and mastery of "natural" and social environments. Social hierarchies are put to work on "nature" in an orderly way to produce highly organized systems of social and technological power. (Judy Wacjman, *Techno Feminism*, 64)*

Every order is therefore political and based on some form of exclusion. There are always other possibilities that have been repressed and that can be reactivated. The articulatory practices through which a certain order is established and the meaning of social institutions is fixed are 'hegemonic practices.' (Chantal Mouffe, "Artistic Activism and Agonistic Spaces," 3)

As entities within a hegemonic structure, feminized bodies could be the abject of a standardized hierarchy. Following Judith Butler, the abject is formed simultaneously with the exclusionary establishment of the subject. What remains outside of the subject realm is the abject (Butler, *Bodies That Matter* 3)—deviating from the norm and considered illegible. The abject disturbs identity, system, and order. (Kristeva 4) In the discourse of how digital technology is expected to function, and the relationships to and between devices and platforms, the abject would be a glitch of the system. "Glitches represent the user's loss of control over the machine; they are often exploited as part of avant-garde art practice because they forcibly remind viewers of the material base of digital events." (Nakamura) A glitch can emerge spontaneously from the programming of the machine, but it can also be intentionally provoked and programmed by humans. In this sense, relating to glitches embraces failure and alludes to randomness, fragmentation, error, helplessness, the unexpected, and machine-driven processes.

A technological glitch reminds the human that the technology is not passive. This disruption of humanist Order can be thought of in terms of Karan Barad's theory of agential realism, which says that objects within an apparatus have agency. They cannot be reduced to a determinate "nature." The relationships between objects within an apparatus is the phenomena which produces knowledge. Apparatuses can construct standardized systems that subjugate objects to their hierarchical order. (Barad 132-185) For example, humanist Order assumes that digital and mechanical technologies can be programmed to behave deterministically according to the human. However, glitches crack open these hierarchies by disrupting the structure that establishes them. A glitch of the system is not only a metaphor or an allegory in this sense but also has the capacity to affect and effect.

After all, power cannot stay in power without reproducing itself in some way. And every act of reproduction risks going awry or adrift, or producing effects that are not fully foreseen. (Judith Butler, "Performativity, Precarity, and Sexual Politics," ii-iii)

“An unexpected occurrence, unintended result, or break or disruption in a system, cannot be singularly codified, which is precisely its conceptual strength and dynamical contribution to media theory. From an informational (or technological) perspective, the glitch is best considered as a break from (one of) the protocolized data flows within a technological system.” (Menkman 26) What may appear to be a systematized totalization can glitch, illuminating the constructedness of the apparatus. Further, “breaking” something intentionally can also be a statement that resists the system that tries to contain it. As a glitch’s behavior departs from an expected, “useful” function, it is a **not-yet-defined** rupture of teleology that opens up a critique of the system from which it breaks.

In this sense, a glitch, which can occur “organically” and be a performative gesture, queers technology by resisting norms and intelligibility: the body/technology does not align with its prescribed function, so it is deemed **unrecognizable, illegible**. Queerness is not stagnant, but porous, mutable, existing in a space outside of the dominant norm. It breaks from the rationalized schema that builds a taxonomized hierarchy, according to function, in order to perpetuate (re)production that is beneficial to neoliberalism/capitalism. Technology as well as feminized bodies are entangled in this system—subjugated to ordered regulatory systems and standardized totalities that have been established by White Male hegemony. Within the technological realm, a glitch resists functional norms by embodying “failure”—that **something has gone wrong**—which calls to its queerness as “a mode of critique rather than as a new investment in normativity or life or respectability or wholeness or legitimacy.” (Halberstam 110-111)

We have used a lot of toxic ink and trees processed into paper decrying what they have meant and how it hurts us. The imagined "they" constitute a kind of invisible conspiracy of masculinist scientists and philosophers replete with grants and laboratories. The imagined "we" are the embodied others, who are not allowed not to have a body, a finite point of view, and so an inevitably disqualifying and polluting

bias in any discussion of consequence outside our own little circles (Donna Haraway, "Situated Knowledges: The Science Question in Feminism and the Privilege of Partial Perspective," 575)

We are the malignant accident which fell into your system while you were sleeping. And when you wake we will terminate your digital delusions, hijacking your impeccable software. (VNS Matrix, "bitch mutant manifesto," http://www.obn.org/reading_room/manifestos/html/bitch.html)

A feminized human body relating to technology could also be a glitch of the system when it is an unexpected occurrence, fragmented, blurring boundaries, and withstanding classification. With the availability of digital technology and the internet, different bodies with access are able to communicate across webs of relations and join together in virtual dimensions. Digital platforms can provide a space for those who may not be intelligible subjects, and those in solidarity with them, to convene for acts of resistance and to manifest a multiplicity of subjectivities and worlds against the majority of rapidly assimilated in-formation.

In part, cyberfeminism needs to be understood as a reaction to the pessimism of the 1980s feminist approaches that stressed the inherently masculine nature of technoscience. In contrast, cyberfeminism emphasizes women's subjectivity and agency, and the pleasures immanent in digital technologies. They accept that industrial technology did indeed have a patriarchal character, but insist that new digital technologies are much more diffuse and open. Thus, cyberfeminism marks a new relationship between feminism and technology. (Judy Wajcman, *Techno Feminism*, 63.)

"The abject and subversion of the clean and proper [human and technological] body" (Evans) emerges from within the system to critique it. Cyberfeminism is such an emergence. In a way it reclaims the feminized body that has been subjugated to the masculinist scientific Order, but it also infiltrates the webs of cyberspace. The first cyberfeminist interventions, such as those of VNS Matrix who coined the term, seemed necessary, as the technological landscape was cartesian and uncritical: "It was a masculinist space, coded as such, and the gatekeepers of the code (cultural and logos) maintained control of the production of technology." (Evans) Cyberfeminism confronts the initial false conceptions of the internet as a space of neutrality, anonymity, and unbridled access by resisting totalizing, hierarchical taxonomies and the need to form a legible subject. Its infiltration into cyberspace signals to how technology is plastic–

the same platforms and devices can be oppressive and liberating depending upon the social relations and contexts in which they are implemented. (Wacjman 72)

*Music/noise? Wanted/unwanted? Meaning/non-meaning? **May our noise remain incompatible with neat, dualistic logic: that which has sought to abate us.***

Let us make a MesS. Let us use our noisy, machinic collaborations for DestabilizatiOn NoT DOMinatiON. Let uS use them to UnDerMi.ne the power structures that have rendered us noise. (Marie Thompson, "M*anifesto f0r Interfer!ng Wom/en," <http://www.aprja.net/?p=151>)

Although science and technology are the products of capitalism, militarism, colonialism, racism (etc.) and their insistent male domination, cybertechnology has liberating possibilities. (Wacjman 72) Donna Haraway evokes the cyborg as "a cybernetic organism, a hybrid of machine and organism, a creature of social reality as well as a creature of fiction." (Haraway, "A Cyborg Manifesto" 516) The cyborg dissolves the idea of an origin because it is unable to be defined, asserting that identities are not easily classified, but fragmented and complex. "Cyborg politics is the struggle for language and the struggle against perfect communication, against the one code that translates all meaning perfectly, the central dogma of phallogocentrism." (Haraway, "A Cyborg Manifesto" 532) Subverting structures of language, gender, and therefore the (re)production of dualities, through the complication of boundaries—their construction and deconstruction—the cyborg can become "a political language to ground one way of looking at science and technology and challenging the informatics of domination—in order to act potently." (Haraway, "A Cyborg Manifesto" 534)

first, the production of universal, totalizing theory is a major mistake that misses most of reality, probably always, but certainly now; and second, taking responsibility for the social relations of science and technology means refusing an anti-science metaphysics, a demonology of technology, and so means embracing the skillful task of reconstructing the boundaries of daily life, in partial connection with others, in communication with all of our parts. (Donna Haraway, "A Manifesto for Cyborgs," 535)

Haraway also introduces the idea of “situated knowledges,” which involve communities but avert from essentialist notions that claim there is a universalized women’s experience—that instead these knowledges are circumstantial, particular to a specific position within and breaking from existing infrastructures. “The science question in feminism is about objectivity as positioned rationality. Its images are not the products of escape and transcendence of limits (the view from above) but the joining of partial views and halting voices into a collective subject position that promises a vision of the means of ongoing finite embodiment, of living within limits and contradictions-of views from somewhere.” (Haraway, “Situated Knowledges” 590) For Haraway, a feminist analysis is a form that breaks and works with the enabling constraints of knowledge-producing infrastructures. “In Haraway’s hands, feminist standpoints of hybridities become consciously chosen political and social locations, a range of possible vantage-points.” (Wacjman 87) Situated knowledges map experiences that make connections through affinities between “the politics of knowledge, and the spaces, places and locations that we occupy.” (Alexander and Mohanty 27) They glitch the system by calling for “contestation, deconstruction, passionate construction, webbed connections, and hope for transformation of systems of knowledge and ways of seeing [to form a] knowledge potent for constructing worlds less organized by axes of domination.” (Haraway, “Situated Knowledges” 585)

*Once a procedural flow is broken, there are two possible ways in which the glitch tends to move. If the cause of the machine’s erratic behavior becomes known, the glitch tips and becomes a simple bug report of a failure, in which it will be described under its technological name (which at that point is often a compression artifact). However, if the cause of the glitch remains unknown, the glitch can either be ignored and forgotten, or transformed into [a critique] on a phenomenon (or the memory thereof) defined by a social or cultural context (conventions, histories, perspectives) and the technology that is malfunctioning. (Rosa Menkman, *The Glitch Moment(um)*, 27)*

*queer theory opposes those who would regulate identities or establish epistemological claims of priority for those who make claims to certain kinds of identities, it seeks not only to expand the community base of antihomophobic activism, but, rather, to insist that sexuality is not easily summarized or unified through categorization. (Judith Butler, *Undoing Gender*, 7)*

“the gaze that mythically inscribes all the marked bodies, that makes the unmarked category claim the power to see and not be seen, to represent while escaping representation. This gaze signifies the unmarked positions of Man and White, one of the many nasty tones of the word “objectivity” to feminist ears in scientific and technological, late-industrial, militarized, racist, and male-dominant societies” (Haraway, “Situated Knowledges” 581) Although the unintelligibility that marks queer bodies withstands many classificatory systems established by hegemony, these ordering processes still try to organize “malfunctions” in behavior according to “objectively” delineated pathologies that standardize subjectivities with specific signifiers. Queer theory resists these taxonomized hierarchies with forms of knowledge production that insist upon identities that cannot be defined, since they are not fixed, and that signal to how queerness ruptures (glitches) teleological systems.

If dominant relations of power are not something that “naturally” seethe, but are structural developments that regulate identities and administer this information, certain forms of resistance to and within digital technology and cyberspace can deconstruct the Order. With situated knowledges, this involves a multidimensional subjectivity—a splitting, not being. (Haraway, “Situated Knowledges” 586) “‘Splitting’ in this context should be about heterogenous multiplicities that are simultaneously salient and incapable of being squashed into isomorphic slots or cumulative lists. This geometry pertains within and among subjects.” (Haraway, “Situated Knowledges” 586) Forming constellations of cracks that open multiplicities and fracture the facade of a systematized totality, these forms of resistance queer technology. They cannot be easily categorized by resisting the rationalized hierarchies of humanism and the concept of language that structures them, and they offer a performative critique that virtually evokes a specter of an absent presence. These evocations do not only exist virtually, but also flow over to disturb the Order of the material realm. Subverting the ways in which humans relate to technology with the performativity of unintelligibility, different intersecting interventions can break the Order that governs over information and, within this, legible subjectivity.

norms are made and re-made, and sometimes they enter into crisis in the remaking; they are vectors of power and of history. (Judith Butler, “Performativity, Precarity, and Sexual Politics,” xi)

“Performativity was, to be sure, an account of agency, and precarity seems to focus on conditions that threaten life in ways that appear to be outside of one’s control.” (Butler, “Performativity, Precarity, and Sexual Politics” i) “Precarity is a rubric that brings together women, queers, transgender people, the poor, and the stateless.” (Butler, “Performativity, Precarity, and Sexual Politics” xiii) Butler thinks about precarity mostly in relationship to material social relations. But if science and technology move within these social relations as well, the ways in which these bodies are silenced by the systematized process of exclusion affect who has control over and access to information and technology. For Butler, performativity links with precarity with the question of who counts as a subject and who does not—“who counts as a life, who can be read or understood as a living being, and who lives, or tries to live, on the far side of established modes of intelligibility.” (Butler, “Performativity, Precarity, and Sexual Politics” iv) For example, a lack of access to digital communication technologies would make up a new “uninhabitable zone,” or abject realm, as the subject constitutes those who rely on these technologies in their social and/or professional daily life, and would quite literally find an area without Wi-Fi connection or cell towers as uninhabitable—and the lives of those who are inhabiting these zones might be “unlivable.”

Technological hegemony marginalizes those who do not adequately fill its subject position—those who are not “worthy” to control the code. If “white heterosexual masculinity [is] consonant with the identity of the institution against which racialized and sexed others are made, imagined, and positioned [and ...] the diffusion of ways of knowing [...] are informed by the fictions of European Enlightenment rationality,” (Alexander and Mohanty 28) critiques and interventions into digital platforms would break apart the fictions of this rationality and the dominant modes of knowledge production and re-presentation. *“Feminism is about the sciences of the multiple subject with (at least) double vision. Feminism is about a critical vision consequent upon a critical positioning in unhomogeneous gendered social space. [...] There is no single feminist standpoint because our maps require too many dimensions for that metaphor to ground our visions.”* (Haraway, “Situated Knowledges” 589) Hosting multiplicities, feminist and queer

critical cuts and performative gestures transgress the masculinist space to destabilize its authoritarian order of knowledge production, bringing it into crisis.

How does the unspeakable population speak and makes its claims? What kind of disruption is this within the field of power? And how can such populations lay claim to what they require? (Judith Butler, “Performativity, Precarity, and Sexual Politics,” xiii)

Imagine that since you were born, the entire system tells you repeatedly that you are something strange, abnormal, sick, that you should feel sorry for what you are and that, after blaming it on bad luck and/or divine justice, you should do as much as possible to modify this “production defect”. (El EZLN Anuncia Pasos Siguintes, *La Fuerza del Silencio* 21-12-12, 57)

Clever counsels, the reason the frontier metaphor [of the internet, which ‘encourages exploitation by corporate capital’] exists is because it inspires “not surrender, but resistance.” This, Clever argues, is the excitement of any frontier, and is the reason the metaphor survives. Clever points to the Zapatistas in Mexico as an example of such resistance, pointing out that during the peso crisis of December 1994, certain international investors tried to buy “inside scoop” information on the Mexican political scene, only to be rejected by those in the know who were also on the Net: The offers were refused, so this autonomous “frontier” of resistance and discussion of the Zapatista alternative continues. (Theresa M. Senft, “Introduction: Performing the digital body—a ghost story,” 21-22)

Emerging from the encounter between poor indigenous communities and urban guerrilleros in the land that is Chiapas, Mexico, the EZLN (*Ejército Zapatista de Liberación Nacional*) exists as a political militant organization with a broad popular base in Chiapas. With the internet and the active participation in spreading the messages of the EZLN by different activists and journalists, the EZLN “burst onto the world stage and quickly inspired [movements] around the world.” (Strangers in a Tangled Wilderness 22) Their struggle participates in a network of transnational solidarity that goes beyond material borders. According to the EZLN, they were driven to an armed uprising “by the domination, marginalization, humiliation, by the injustices and by the norms or laws of corrupt government and by the exploitative landowners.” (EZLN 134) The Mexican government’s response to the EZLN’s initial uprising in January 1994 provoked NGOs (non-governmental organizations) “to ‘swarm’—electronically as well as

physically– from the United States, Canada, and elsewhere into Mexico City and Chiapas. There, they linked up with Mexican NGOs to voice solidarity with the EZLN’s demands and to press for nonviolent change.” (Ronfeldt, Arquilla, Fuller, and Fuller xi) They assembled highly networked, loosely coordinated, cross-border coalitions that restrained the Mexican government in defense of the Zapatista cause. These coalitions were composed of many different struggles and gestures of solidarity.

In favor of direct democracy, “*zapatismo* situated itself as a mode of liberation and leftist struggle that rejected hierarchy, party control, and aspirations to create a State apparatus,” and it inspired many other movements such as the Kurdish resistance in Rojava. (Strangers in a Tangled Wilderness 22) “*Zapatismo* from the indigenous peoples of Chiapas worked as a model for a new generation of social movements that are difficult to characterize, sustained in networks, geographically dispersed, diffused, multi-thematic, intermittent, and not formally organized.” (Rovira) The Zapatista networks hosted by technology are the jointure of a hybrid, intergalactic struggle that complicates boundaries and evokes the other in different spaces, times, and dimensions. They call to situated knowledges as a community formed by positions of “partial, [...] critical knowledges sustaining the possibility of webs of connections called solidarity in politics and shared conversations in epistemology.” (Haraway, “Situated Knowledges” 584)

*Protests and encounters in many cities of the world in order to get to know the situation in Chiapas, to influence the local public opinion and to put the pressure on Mexican diplomatic delegations. [...] Lobbying campaigns towards the local elites who in turn put the pressure on the Mexican government: in the Parliament, with the politicians, with the most renowned intellectuals. [...] The flood of foreigners to the indigenous communities, now are like portadores [messenger/weapon bearer] of material solidarity and productive projects or are like simple companions to the Zapatista communities. They served as proliferators of the cause and wove interpersonal relations. [...] Electronic civil disobedience on the internet, provoked by Critical Art Ensemble [...] These forms of action of the *zapatismo* transnacional are inextricably imbricated (Guiomar Rovira, “El Zapatismo y la Red Transnacional”)*

Subjectivity is multidimensional; so, therefore, is vision. The knowing self is partial in all its guises, never finished, whole, simply there and original; it is always constructed and stitched together imperfectly, and therefore able to join with another, to see together without claiming to be another. (Donna Haraway, "Situated Knowledges: The Science Question in Feminism and the Privilege of Partial Perspective," 586)

Zapatismo manifests a specter of an absent presence—collective appearances speak with/in technology to string together webs of communications that activate a network. In support of democracy, liberty, and justice, the Zapatista struggle reaches a global level against neoliberalism. As *altermundialista*, the EZLN maintains the vision of a world different than current neoliberal globalization. It wants one world that fits many worlds, and these can be encountered in the dimensions of the virtual realm. Unbound from very limited, local terms, *zapatismo transnacional* occupies a space of convergence for encountering intersections between manifold and dispersed issues and forms of and activism. Although *zapatismo* may have particular political implications, the Zapatistas do not have a strict definition of their politics. They are open and inclusive to all who may be involved in the network. (Rovira) Unforeseeable and fragmented, *zapatismo* is a glitch of the system. It resists hegemonic structures that designate who is a legible subject and who has access to and control over technology and knowledge production. It draws "the connections and unexpected openings situated knowledges make possible." (Haraway, "Situated Knowledges" 590) Unpredictable, unable to be absolutely defined, dissolving borders and deconstructing hierarchies, *zapatismo* works with these aims to intentionally break the system as a disruptive statement of resistance that has material and virtual resonance.

In the case of zapatismo transnacional, what unites the actors is the exchange and the circulation of information for solidarity action. It is in this sense that the Internet, just like other tools for communication, like the fax, the telephone, or the mail, plays a relevant role as infrastructure of its own movement. In zapatismo, just like in altermundismo, the internet will play a key role as the medium that facilitates the appearance of these types of reticular relations throughout the planet, and it will say that the Internet is more than a medium. (Guiomar Rovira, "El Zapatismo y la Red Transnacional")

The internet and its networks are mediums, not mediators, that actively and dynamically evoke *zapatismo*. As the intervening substance through which impressions are conveyed to the senses or a force acts on objects at a distance, the medium can host multiplicities, calling to different times, spaces, and dimensions. The internet as a medium hosts the channels for encounters through which those who have been silenced by their illegibility may be able to speak. With *zapatismo*, web-like intersections emerge not only virtually, but also have material effects in relationship to the State and society. Virtual manifestations across the *zapatismo* network participate in global actions against capitalism amongst cyberactivists. (Rovira) These actions call to the other through channels of communication where different spatiotemporal dimensions can flow simultaneously. They implement “hacktivism” in resistance to the different corporations and institutions (Rovira) that perpetuate the hegemony that standardizes knowledge, administers information, and decides *who* is a legible subject. *Zapatismo* is a movement with/in systems of communication. “The intergalactic network is more powerful than any gun.” (Rovira)

Moving as a collective and dispersed form, *zapatismo*, as a glitch, cultivated the capacity to have material impacts with the transmission of messages through technologies with/in its networks. The movement is indefinable and disturbs the “natural” Order. The participants in these webbed connections produce knowledge in relationship to the Zapatistas that is situated within various infrastructures. They work with these structures and make cuts into them, in order to diffuse messages and resist rationalized neoliberal policies that perpetuate the hierarchical totality that sways corporations and the Mexican government. As the medium, the internetted network conjures up those who are silenced by States and institutions—bodies unrecognizable, determined “invisible” and “useless” according to the standardized, systematic hierarchy of function and (re)production. The access that indigenous communities have to the internet and other technologies in relationship to the Zapatista struggle, which is mostly remotely (activists and journalists publish letters sent from Chiapas), queers the way in which these technologies are “supposed to” have relations, causing the system to glitch. *Zapatismo* reclaims autonomy and forms agential relations through technologies to overturn the apparatus of a systemic hierarchy which silences the Zapatista voice and suppresses the people. As a glitch of the system, the unintelligible and stateless oppressed have attained, with an “unexpected” technology, the capacity to produce their own knowledges through the intergalactic networks

of resistance. “Immersed in the rhizome of flows, the networks become unstoppable and unpredictable.” (Rovira)

*P.S. TO CLARIFY UNNECESSARILY. We also do not have a twitter or facebook account, or email, or telephone number, or PO box. What shows up on the page is from the page, and these comrades support us and send us what they receive, just as they send what we send to them. Otherwise, we are against copyright, this way anyone can have their twitter, their facebook, or however you call it, and use our names, although, clearly, they are not us and do not represent us. But, according to what they have told me, the majority of them clarify that they are not who one might think they are. And the truth is that we have fun imagining the amount of insults and mentions [...] that they have received and will receive, originally directed at the ezetaelene and/or at whom writes this. (El EZLN Anuncia Pasos Siguientes, *La Fuerza del Silencio* 21-12-12, 110)*

<http://enlacezapatista.ezln.org.mx/2016/01/01/palabras-del-ezln-en-el-22-aniversario-del-inicio-de-la-guerra-contra-el-olvido/>

Works Cited

- Alexander, M. Jacqui and Chandra Talpade Mohanty. "Cartographies of Knowledge and Power Transnational Feminism as Radical Praxis." *Critical Transnational Feminist Praxis*. Ed. Amanda Lock Swarr and Richa Nagar. New York: SUNY Press, 2010. 23-45. Print.
- Barad, Karen. "Agential Realism: How Material-Discursive Practices Matter." *Meeting the Universe Halfway: Quantum Physics and the Entanglement of Matter and Meaning*. Durham: Duke University Press, 2007. 132-185. Print.
- Butler, Judith. *Bodies That Matter: On the Discursive Limits of "Sex."* New York: Routledge, 1993. Print.
- . "Performativity, Precarity, and Sexual Politics." *AIBR. Revista de Antropología Iberoamericana* 4.3 (September-December 2009): i-xiii. Web. 11 Dec 2015.
- . "Introduction: Acting in Concert." *Undoing Gender*. New York: Routledge, 2004. 1-16. Print.
- El EZLN Anuncia Pasos Siguintes. *La Fuerza del Silencio 21-12-12*. México D.F.: Ediciones Eón, 2013. Print.
- Evans, Claire L. "An Oral History of the First Cyberfeminists." *Motherboard*, 11 Dec 2014. Web. 11 Nov 2015. <<http://motherboard.vice.com/read/an-oral-history-of-the-first-cyberfeminists-vns-matrix>>.
- Halberstam, Judith. "The Queer Art of Failure." *The Queer Art of Failure*. Durham: Duke University Press, 2011. 87-121. Print.
- Haraway, Donna. "A Cyborg Manifesto." *The New Media Reader*. Ed. Noah Wardrip-Fruin and Nick Montfort. Cambridge: The MIT Press, 2003. 515-541. Print.

---. "Situated Knowledges: The Science Question in Feminism and the Privilege of Partial Perspective." *Feminist Studies* 14 (Autumn 1988): 575-599. Web. 25 Nov 2015. <<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3178066>>.

Kristeva, Julia. "Approaching Abjection." *Powers of Horror*. New York: Columbia University Press, 1982. 1-31. Print.

Menkman, Rosa. *The Glitch Moment(um)*. Amsterdam: Institute of Network Cultures, 2011. Print.

Mouffe, Chantal. "Artistic Activism and Agonistic Spaces." *Art & Research: A Journal of Ideas, Contexts, and Methods* 1 (Summer 2007): 1-5. Web. 30 Nov 2015. <<http://www.artandresearch.org.uk/v1n2/mouffe.html>>.

Nakamura, Lisa. "Glitch Racism: Networks as Actors within Vernacular Internet Theory." *Culture Digitally*, 10 Dec 2013. Web. 31 Mar 2015. <<http://culturedigitally.org/2013/12/glitch-racism-networks-as-actors-within-vernacular-internet-theory/>>.

Ronell, Avital. *The Telephone Book: Technology, Schizophrenia, Electric Speech*. Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, 1989. Print.

Ronfeldt, David, John Arquilla, Graham E. Fuller, Melissa Fuller. *The Zapatista Social Netwar in Mexico*. Santa Monica: RAND, 1998. Print.

Rovira, Guiomar. "El Zapatismo y la Red Transnacional." *Razón y Palabra* 47 (2005). Web. 10 Dec 2015. <<http://www.razonypalabra.org.mx/anteriores/n47/grovira.html>>.

Sent, Theresa M. "Introduction: Performing the digital body—a ghost story." *Women & Performance: a journal of feminist theory* 9.1 (2008): 9-33. Web. 4 Sep 2015. <<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/07407709608571248>>.

Strangers in a Tangled Wilderness. *A Small Key Can Open A Large Door: The Rojava Revolution*.

USA: Combustion Books, 2016. Print.

Thompson, Marie. "M*anifesto f0r Interfer!ng Wom/en." *A Peer Reviewed Journal About*,

14 Jan 2013. Web. 11 Nov 2015. <<http://www.aprja.net/?p=151>>.

VNS Matrix. "bitch mutant manifesto." *OBN*, 1996. Web. 11 Nov 2015.

<http://www.obn.org/reading_room/manifestos/html/bitch.html>.

Wajcman, Judy. *Techno Feminism*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 2004. Print.